App. F. 1X-4

Previous References

Mys Defs 181-37
1-38

1936
(Mysore)

File No. 38-38
Serial Nos. 1—4

Letter References

Mys Defs 191-38
Secy 25-9-60-1-2

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Enclosure

Part B for November 1938

SUBJECT.

Re Kanda ganamanya's Suit at Belakad

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—13-8-37

M Thyagaraja
9/11/38.

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No. 38-38

FILE HEADING

Petition of Rudrappa Swami - Mathadhipathi of Sri Kendagannesvara Swami, Kankakaji Mutt - residing at Godda Indwadi - Kollegal Taluk.

FROM

Rudrappa Swami - Mathadhipathi of Sri Kendagannesvara Swami - Kanakagiri Mutt.

No. — Dated 4-8-38 Received 4.8.38.

Diary No. 138 | Enclos. 3 | Spare copies — | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States That he could not restore the mutt at Kukkur for reasons Specified therein, That he is awaiting the decision of his disciples for raising a mutt building at Kukkur or at Talkad and prays for permission to construct the same within one year as the time allowed so far was a short one.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(1) Petition of 4.8.38 from the Same Swami praying for a stay of the orders directing the lease sale of Inam lands of the Talkad Mutt. (1) orders of Govt See on the P.W.D. Papers today please.

(2) Muz Dept 181 of 37-38 & Muz Dept 4 of 38 are form up for refce. The Mr's report of 20-6-38 explains the facts of the case.

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 0283—GPB—3,750—25-1-38

2) Petition of Rudrappa Swami of the Mutt-Kanakagiri - Kollegal TK.

Mysore File No. 38 of 1938-9 General and Revenue Secretariat

in H no 14. PP 150 & 151 of the Inam Regr. In this P
Office letter No 609 1-7-38 to the H-C, the latter - K.
has been asked to take action for transferring
the Inam lands to any other deserving institution.
The proposals from the H-C re: the transfer of lands have not been
~~the last~~ received.

3) The TK authorities have been to
taking steps ~~for the~~ lease ~~dated~~ the lands; which
is stated in para 3 of the P.H-D II is not true.

As no allowance is paid for the restoration
& the upkeep of the mutt buildings for over
24 years & the inam lands are being enjoyed
for nothing at all — no service being rendered
in the Institution Talkad, it is respectfully
~~prayed that the action taken as per is in~~
~~order~~. — Moreover the Inam lands are
not put up for sale as stated by the Pet.
In these circumstances whether the case
deserves any Consideration, and whether
a fresh of the rents is necessary, is to mutt
for ord., in view of the facts stated in
regard to this case in the Inspl
Comr's letter No. C.923/37-38 dated 20th
June 1938 and the petition may be hotwork
~~28~~ forwarded to the Inspl Comr.
4-8-38. for disposal, if approved.

4) Hind reply is received
to the Mutt's request dated
20-6-1938 according to which
the Swamy does not understand

Serial No.

Before the Board on 17.8.1937 to abide by the conditions of the M.C. order & the Swami took no steps in the matter for a year to fulfil the conditions. It is now represented that the notice was issued only in April 1938 & that there was hardly time to comply with the requirements. This statement does not seem to be correct.

If the Swami now undertakes to abide by the conditions, it is for consideration whether another chance may be given to him i.e. Cancellation with the Board the Order being stayed meanwhile

Gen: Secy

Un 418

This may perhaps be done

J. Anders Jnd 4/8.

First Member

Jnd 5/8

Jnd 5/8

Un 6/8

CERTIFICATE
FIRST MEMBER OF BOARD
AUG 4 1938
No. 1203

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0281—GPB—30,000—18 S 37

4)

134

Mag File No. 38 of 1938. | General and Revenue Secretariat

No 165 of 6-8-38 to The Muzrai 3
Commissioner calling for a report
on the petition specified therein
Endt No 166 of 6-8-38 to the petitioner 4
intimating that a Stay order has been
issued—
Memo No 167 of 6-8-38 to the Muzrai 3
Commissioner forwarding copy of the
above Endorsement.

Petition of 2-9-38 from Hasige
Smappa stating that [redacted]
the eighteen acres of land belonging to Kenda-
ganaing mutt be given to Sri Agastheswara
Swami temple at an upset price of Rs 50/-
per acre for purpose of Sivakutra in
the said temple

It is further says that Kendaganaidu
mutt at Talkad is no longer in existence, the
Mathadhipathi died many years ago & that, as
the mutt lands were enjoyed by those who had nothing to do
with the mutt, they were resumed by Govt and leased
out on yearly terms for 100 Parahs of Paddy
p.a. It is further stated that the Stay order,
that has been issued at the instance of one, that
has nothing to do with the mutt, is not justifiable,

Recd 12/9/38

Re: Kandagunaiya mill lands - Talkad.

General and Revenue Secretariat. C/113 File No. 38 of 193 8. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

T K
No 6/3-2-37-37
dy 6-7-38.

x 4

1 That the x rolls already passed by Govt may be confirmed dismissing the appeal in respect of three defaulters viz.

10) Dy appl the P.W.D. will be forwarded by M.C. in continuation of this office letter No 165 dy 6/8/38 for favour of report on several points raised in the petn —

11) For rolls —

SECRETARY
12 SEP 1938
1566

10/9/38

12) A. Mark Dom

13) 2nd 1949

14)

15x) Dom 1949

ix Not issued as ordered

5 Letter no He 13/38-39 dy 19.9.1938 from the Mysore Commissioner stating that Rudrappaswami & Kandagunaiah will maybe given one more chance to construct the Mill Building at Talkad within one year subject to the Condition specified therein.

Petition dy 20.9.1938 from Asst Amildar for appa of Talkad stating that the appeal of Rudrappa Swamis may be rejected & That in case the appeal is posted for hearing he may —

TYPING SECTION
19 SEP 19[illegible]
GENL [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0234—GPB—30,000—13 × 37

6) Re: Kandagamniah

Mag File No. 38 of 1938. | General and Secretariat

also be given an opportunity to have his say in the matter.

Kind regds is invited to the revised rule found printed in PP 497 & 498 of the Muzrai Manual re: Succession to Mutts; the records do not bear out that Sanction of Govt was obtained at any time previously to Radhappaswami having been installed on the Peeta of the deceased Kandagamniah Mutt: attempts have to find out if his Succession to the Mutt has recognised have been futile: the Auditor in his elaborate report of 1937/38 has also said that the review fee has not furnished Copy of Govt order recognising his succession. The several points raised in the Review petn have been reported to be untenable, some of them being also untrue. It is clear from records that no attempts were made by the Swamy for over 30 years to repair the buildings at Talkad & prevented from taking charge.

xU

P. U. D

Kendaganiah mutt vs Inam lands
Talkad

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 38 of 193 — [App. F. III- 2

Serial No.

1) The facts of the Case are fully set forth in D.C's report of 30-8-38; before any consideration is given to the request of the review petr, it is respectfully submitted that if appld he will be asked to prove by documentary evidence that his succession to the mutt has been duly recognised by Govt.

15) The petr in para 12 of the P.U.A prayed for a stay of the proceedings in respect of the lease sale of Inam lands of the Mutt. A stay order has been issued. The action taken by the TK Munz. officer prior to the issue of the stay order has been embodied in para 10 of D-C's report. A Sum of Rs 512-7-5 has already been received from the lessees as one year's quitta in advance. The petr in the present circumstances may utilise the ~~last~~ quitta amount without arranging for the Construction of the Mutt buildings as has been done in the past. This a matter for Consideration whether he may not be told definitely that the income from

NOTE — Contn. Sheet.

lands would be paid to him on completion of the mill buildings as talked within a period of one year as proposed by the H.C subject to his depositing a sum of Rs 500/- as a guarantee that he will carry out his promise

The other petitioner Mr Arasaratnam Sivakuta states that he would buy the Mill Premises lands at Rs 50/- per acre & endow the same for running Sivakuta in Sri Agastheeswara Swamy temple at Tanmakuda. The lands in question are of a deforested dilapidated Mark & the question of disposal of the lands, which the petitioner Rudrappa Swamy fails to fulfil the terms stated above for approval or other wise.

GS 2/WPD 9/10/38 10.10.38

The H.C recommends in the circumstances explained the sanction

Gen: Secy 13/10/38

Govt Member 14/10

14/10

Govt 15/10

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
OCT 14 1938
3694

Re: Kandagamaige Mutt & ors Iranawilla lands — Talkad.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 38 of 193 8 | [App. F III—2

Serial No 23

D/Sr. Talkad -

Rp. 10/10 ... 11 from Mr. 21.10.38

7 Petn No 594 of 26/10/1938 to the Muzrai Commissioner stating that one more Chance may be given to the Revenue petitioner for Constructing the Mutt building at Talkad within a year subject to the conditions set forth therein

Petition of 20/10/38 from Hasige Linappa of Talkad praying that he may be given the privilege of making the dedication of the lands referred to therein for Sri Agasthesvara temple at the place.

This report is one for consideration only when the Matadhipathi of Kandagamaige Mutt fails to carry out his promise & action taken to resume the lands under serial 7 of this file.

As there circumstances it is for ordering the prayer may now be filed & the petr informed that the lands cannot be granted to him

SJ 27/10 27.10.38 2) for order

2) A reply may be sent as at A

A

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
28 OCT. 1938
483

NOFL—Contn Sheet

WD 0511—GPB—30,000—2 0 38

Sd: Illegible 27/10

Re: Kendagannaga Mudi lands — Talkad — —

Mus File No. 38 of 1938. | General and Revenue Secretariat

31 Dear Mr 31.10.38

No 667 of 3.11.1938 from Hasige Muniappa of Talkad intimating that his request for the Specific lands Cannot be granted as they are not available.

Petition of 20.10.38 addressed to the Diwan received with Memo. No. 1081 of 27.10.38, from Hasige Muniappa praying that he may be given a chance of buying the land reserved for them and dedicating the same for the temple of Sri Agastheswaraswamie at Talkad

The petr has to be informed that his request for the Kendagannaga Mudi lands cannot be complied with as they are

Encl. I
Petition addressed to the Hegmers Dist Mysore from Talkad Hasige Muniappa on the same subject —
GS 4/11 Mus note also to Attest
Noted in record

B Asst 4/11 4/11



GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
4 AUG. 1938
JUDICIAL BRANCH

Mus 38-38-1

In person
4/8/38
Mus Secn
Paper today
please
MD
4/8

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Review Petn.No. /1938-39.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
4 AUG 1938

PETITIONER:--Rudrappaswami,
Matadhipathi of Sree Kendaganneswaraswamy Kanakagiri Mutt,
Residing at Dodda Induvadi,
Kollegal Taluk.

The petitioner begs to state as follows:-

1. That the Mutt popularly known as Kanakagiri Mutt is of a very old and historical origin, endowed with Inam lands by the gracious Ruler Sree Krishnarajendra Wodeyar III under a Sannad granted by him.

2. The Inam is jointly endowed to the two Mutts--one at Kukkur near Talkad and the other at Kanakagiri in Kollegal Taluk; and both are in the enjoyment thereof with one and the same Swami presiding over them.

3. The petitioner is the Presiding Swamy for the last 30 years, the succession to which he had to fight out in a Court of Law and establish his right at a great cost.

4. During the floods of 1911, the Mutt building at Kukkur which was very old and decayed was washed away. The Mutt building at Kanakagiri was also very old and dilapidated. The petitioner's

petitioner's services at Kukkur have not been revived, but worship etc., in the temples attached to the Mutt at Kanakagiri as also daily feeding, Dasoha and other services are being regularly conducted at Dodda Induvadi, a hamlet about 6 furlongs from Kanakagiri. The petitioner could not restore the Mutt at Kukkur as he was all along involved in the costly litigation for succession.

5. Lately, the petitioner has also been trying to construct a Mutt at Talkad and has collected the building materials for the purpose. He has also sent up to the taluk authorities the - Plan,estimate &c., for their approval. But,they have not been approved so far. The question of construction has also been delayed on account of the alternative purposes of the important disciples of the Mutt--some selecting the old site at Kukkur and some others suggesting at Talkad. As the - petitioner does not want to create a split among his disciples on account of this, he has been trying to decide one way or the other, with the full concurrence of all his Sishyas.

6. The petitioner is all the more eager to push through the construction, as he wants to be the builder of the Mutt..

7. A notice directing the construction of the building within one year from the date of the Muzrai Commissioner's Order, viz., 9/3/37 was issued from the taluk office on 5/3/38 and was actually served on the petitioner on 3/4/38.

8. While facts were this, the petitioner was stunned to get a notice to the effect that the Mutt had been declared defunct and that the lands put up for lease sale.

9. The petitioner approaching the Muzrai Commissioner with a request for a review of the order declaring the Mutt as defunct and also for a grant of a Stay Order in respect of the sale of the lands to be held on 6-8-38. But that officer has issued an endorsement No.D2. Dis. 141/38-9 dated 3-8-38 stating that he could not review the order, as the Government have passed final orders in the matter.

10. The petitioner humbly begs to approach Government to kindly review the order under the following, among other, grounds:-

(1). There was hardly time allowed for the construction, as the notice was issued on 5th March 1938 and served on 3-4-38 to complete the construction by 9-3-38 i.e., one year from the date of the Muzrai Commissioner's order.

(2). That the Inam lands have been granted in the joint name of the two Mutts, viz., Kukkur and Kanakagiri. The former is extinct while the latter is functioning. Under the circumstances, the land cannot be resumed declaring the entire institution as defunct. Therefore, the resumption of the lands is unfair and unjust.

(3). That no notice of resumption was served on the petitioner before the lands were put up for early sale.

(4). That the petitioner is ever willing and ready to construct the Mutt at Talkad and revive the services, but he is hampered due to the selection of the place. He is ever ready to undertake the construction, if one year's time is granted to him, as he has already collected materials for the purpose. If he is not given the opportunity, he will have to incur heavy loss in this behalf and all the materials will be wasted

(5). The petitioner is also ready to execute a Mutchalika before Government in the above terms and act up to it.

(6). The petitioner as the Head of an ancient and important Mutt will lose his prestige

and honour which he holds among his disciples and the Mutt recognised and patronised by His Late - Highness the Maharaja will also have become extinct, if the Inam lands are resumed, and the - Mutt is declared defunct.

(7). The petitioner only prays that an opportunity may be given to him to prove his bona fides.

11. The petitioner, therefore, prays that the Government may be pleased to show some indulgence and reconsider the order already made declaring the institution defunct and permit the petitioner to build the Mutt within one year, the - Amildar being directed to choose the village and the site and also to approve the Plan and Estimate already submitted. He may be afforded an opportunity to revive this ancient and glorious Mutt - for which he has dedicated his life.

12. The petitioner also prays that pending disposal of this Review Petition, Government may be pleased to issue a STAY ORDER in respect of the lease sale of the Inam lands which is to be - held on 6th August 1938.

13. Two Notices received from the Taluk and the endorsement issued by the Muzrai Commissioner are enclosed in original for kind reference.

Bangalore,)
4th August 1938.)

PETITIONER.

No. D2 141/38-9 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 3-8-38.

ENDORSEMENT.

With reference to his petition Registered No.P.C.59/38-39 dated 30-7-38, praying for a review of the Order declaring the Sri Kendaganneswara Mutt at Talkad, as defunct, and requesting for a stay order in respect of the lease of the inam lands belonging to the Mutt proposed to be held by the Amildar, T.Narasipur Taluk, on 6-8-38, etc., Rudrappa Swamy of Doddainduvadi Village, Kollegal Taluk, is informed that it is not possible to review the Order of 1937 and re-open the matter now, as it has been finally approved by Government. His petition ~~xxx~~ is, therefore, rejected.

M. N. Anandaswamy
for Muzrai Commissioner.

ఆ.నగరపాలక కార్యదర్శి వారికి,

తమరు ఈ క్రింది కాలువల కాంక్రీటు చేయుటకు టెండర్లు పిలిచినారు. టెండరు షరతుల ప్రకారం మేము దరఖాస్తు చేయుచున్నాము. దయచేసి క్రింది వివరాలను పరిశీలించగలరు: -

136.	కొత్త.	4.25	ఆదివారం	
137.	"	3.28	శనివారం	
164	"	4.30	4 గంటలు	
165	"	5-10.	4.45	
167	"	1.34	6.45	

పై వివరాలను పరిశీలించి, మా దరఖాస్తులను ఆమోదించి, మాకు కాంట్రాక్టు ఇవ్వవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

ఇట్లు,
మీ విశ్వాసపాత్రులు,



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA

OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Mis. No. of 1938-39.

PETITIONER:-- Rudrappaswamygal, Matadhipathi of "Sri Kendaganneswareswamy Kanakagiri Mutt", residing at Dodda Induvadi village, Kollegal taluk.

The petitioner begs to state:-

1. That he has today filed an application for the reconsideration of the passed in a muzrai case by this honourable court concerning the above Mutt of which he is the head.

2. That he has a good case on merits.

3. That the properties are advertised for sale to 6-8-1938, on the spot: Talkad.

4. That he was served with notice on 20-7-1938.

5. That if an immediate stay order is not issued his position will be badly jeopordised.

6. That by the grant of a stay order nobody suffers in the matter.

7. Muzrai Commissioner who was approached in the matter has pleaded his inability to interfere, as final orders are issued by Government.

8. That he is ready and willing to carry out the orders of this honourable Court at any time.

9. That he therefore prays that a stay order may kindly be issued pending disposal of the petition filed today.

ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪಸ್ವಾಮಿ

Petitioner.

4-8-38.

Bangalore City.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 165/ mmg 38-38-3
Encl

Dated, Bangalore, 6th August 1938

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Municipal Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.6/Muz.2-38-97 dated 1st July 1938 in the matter of declaring the Kendapannil'e Mutt at Talkad as a defunct institution, I am directed to forward herewith the petition presented by the Swami of the Mutt praying for a chance to construct the Matha building and revive the services and to request the favour of an early report on the representation of the Swami.

I am further to request that pending final orders on the petition, the question of leasing the lands of the Matha may be held in abeyance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

JECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mu3

File No. 38-38

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 165

To The Mus Com

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue 6/8/38

In continuation
of my letter No.
Mus 2.36.93 dated 1.7.1938
in the matter of declaring
the Nandanamichi Mutt
at Tanjore as a defunct
institution, I am
directed to ~~refer~~ forward
herewith the petition
presented by the Swami
of the Mutt praying for
a chance to construct the

Signed by Mr S.K.V. Iyer.

List of enclosures..... ....

Copied by ....

Examined by..... .....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

Matter pending and ready
for decision and to request
the favour of an early
report on the representation
of the Chairman.

I am further to
request that pursuing
formal order on the petition
the question of Chairman
the Council of the Mark
Wayne should be adjourned

I have etc
the
Secretary

JECT:.....

.....

.....

Section Mun

File No. 38-38

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 166

To

The Manager Trading
Sree Meenakshi Sundaram
Spinning Mills Ltd

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 6/8/38

Signed by Hon. S. K. V. Iyer

With reference to his letter
dated 4.8.1938 praying
that he may be
allowed some time to
construct the building
& to receive the Machines.
The Manager Trading
Co Meenakshi Mills Ltd
is informed that the
matter is under

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

Considerable delay
pending final orders,
the Municipal Council
have requested to postpone
the sale of the lands
of the Master

to

the Collector

No 167/mis of 6/8/38.
Copy to Mr. Municipal Council
for letter No 165 of 6.8.38.

to

the Asst. Secy

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, &c., not intended for permanent record]

As per orders, the above is inserted in a Telegram form & given to the Party for receipt being done at the Orion Cash

GS 6/8//

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

REGISTER OF "SUSPEND C" CASES OF THE.....BRANCH.

WD 0552—GPB—26,000-7-15-25

ister No.	Date of receipt	File and Serial No.	Brief Subject of the file	Reason why it is included in this list	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6

POSTS & TELEGRAPHS DEPT.

RECEIPT FOR INLAND TELEGRAM

ss Amount Rs. 3 A. 0 No 0 Date 5/1 (date-stamp)

TELEPHONE TRUNKS

Modern business demands modern methods. Increase your business by using the Telephone Trunks to talk business across hundreds of miles.

You can get the best value out of Telephone Trunks by booking the Particular Person Call, that is, to the person with whom you want to speak to. It costs 25 per cent. extra if the party is obtained, otherwise you only pay 25 per cent. of the ordinary charge.

Mysore 2-38035

GENL. & REV. SECRET. 292 10. SEP. 1938 MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

1938

Order

GENERAL SECRETARY. 9 SEP. 1938 Mysore

No. 818

Name of Petitioner .. Hoviga Sannappa

Village .. Talakad

Taluk .. T. Narasipur

District .. Mysore

Date of Petition .....

Date of Receipt .. 6.9.38

No of Enclosures .. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Request that the Inamdars of Kondagani de Mutt of Talakad which was resumed by Government on account of institution becoming defunct may be granted to the Bramachaarie (Sivakuli) he has been maintaining in the name of the temple of Sri Agastheeswara Swami at Dimmakudla for offering food to people of both Brahmin & other Communities.

General Secretary
for the Comptroller
BDD

PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
OF MYSORE.
Date of receipt 6.9.38
Petition No.

No. MC.13/38-39

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 19th September ... *1938*

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
..General ..... *Department.*

SIR,

Petition of Rudrappaswamy of Kendaganniah Mutt, Talkad, in the matter of declaring the Mutt as Defunct.

With reference to your letter No.165/Muz.38-38-3 dated 6th August 1938 calling for a report re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith letter No.H.846/37 dated 30th August 1938, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state that the facts of the case are fully explained in the Deputy Commissioner's letter and this office letter No.C.923/37-38 dated 22-6-1938 addressed to you. The petitioner prays for a reconsideration of the orders passed in your letter No.6/Muz 2-37-97 dated 1-7-1938 directing that the Mutt may be declared as defunct etc., and for the grant of time for one more year to construct the building. The Mutt has been declared defunct by the Deputy Commissioner, in his Order No.H.846/37 dated 14-7-1938, and action taken to lease out the lands to the tenants of the Mutt, themselves, for 1938-39 as they had already sown the seeds. Further proceedings have been stopped, as per instructions issued in your letter.

The petitioner prays for a recommendation of the Order, on the following grounds.

i) that due notice was not given and sufficient time not granted for the construction of the Mutt building, as the notice calling upon him to finish the construction by 9-3-1938 (i.e.,one year from the date of this office Order), was received by him on 5-3-1938. - This is not a fact, because it is seen from the records that a Notice, to construct the building was issued on 30-4-1937 and the petitioner has also executed a Muchalika on 17th May 1937, to complete the construction within the time granted.

ii) that Notice, declaring the Mutt as defunct and directing the resumption and lease of the inam lands was not issued etc. This also not a fact, because a Notice to the above effect was issued on 19-7-1938 and served on the petitioner on 24-7-1938. In fact, the Notice produced by the petitioner with his petition is the one which was served on him.

iii) that while the inams are granted in the joint names of the two Mutts at Kukkur and Kanakagiri, the declaration of the entire Mutt as defunct is unfair and unjust, as services at the latter place are being conducted by him. - Kind reference is invited in this connection, to this office letter dated 22-6-1938, wherein the circumstances under which the institution was proposed to be declared defunct.

3. From the foregoing, it will be seen that the statements made in the petition are not true and are not borne out by records. Though the Mutt building at Kukkur was washed away by floods, so far back as 1911, no attempt has been made to restore it, though opportunity was given to the petitioner to do so, while he continued in the possession and enjoyment of the inam lands belonging thereto. Under the circumstances, the only course left was to declare the institution as defunct and resume the inam lands under the Standing Orders, for sufficient and valid reasons.

4. In view, however, of the representation of the petitioner, that the prestige of the Mutt as an ancient and important institution and of himself as its presiding head will be lost and the materials so far collected for the construction will also be wasted if the Order is given effect to etc., the Deputy Commissioner recommends that another chance may be given to the petitioner. Agreeing with him, I am of opinion that the petitioner may be given one more chance to construct the Mutt building at Talkad within a period of one year, subject to the Mutt depositing Rs 500 as guarantee that the petitioner will carry out his promise.

5. The entire file of records received from the Deputy Commissioner, is enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Vuz 38-38-6

To

The General Secretary to the
Government of His Exalted Highness the
Nizam of Hyderabad

21/9/38



Muzrai Department

Sir

The humble petitioner Sriman Narasa Shalahad and under of the Srivaishnava Dharmanuvartaka Hindu begs to submit that the case of the Hengarakaryama Math and its properties is now before Government in appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner dated 21.8.38 primarily. This humble petitioner that brought to the notice of the Commissioner nonexistence of the institution and the cessation of services there in the past decades. The several cases quoted by the Muzrai Commissioner in his order of the records submitted by the Officers make it abundantly clear that there has been complete failure of the object of the institution and the

egod are of the deficit

grossly and Consistently abusing the utilising the income for his personal

In view of this unchallengeable findings appeal deserves to be summarily rejected. If however it is deemed necessary to hear the appeal the humble petitioner begs that he may be given an opportunity to have his say in the matter. In conclusion the humble petitioner begs to assure that in submitting this application he is not prompted by any jealousy or hatred or personal benefit but it is solely in the interests of the Sree Agasthesvara Swami Temp at Thirumakudlu.

Begs to remain,
Sir
Your most obedient
Ser

Bangalore
20th Sept 1938.

Petitioner

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
356
21 SEP. 1938
MUZRAI BR CH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of receipt 20.9.38
Petn: Regr No 910

GENERAL SECRETARY'S
21 SEP. 1938

To The First Member in Council,

Government of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Petitioner :- H. Surappa,
Resident of Jalakad
T. Narasipura Taluq. Mysore District

The petitioner abovenamed begs to submit as follows:-

The petitioner is resident of Jalakad. As a humble devotee of Sri Agasteswara a deity enshrined in the temple situated in the secred spot of confluence of three sacred rivers The Kaveri, Kapila and Spatika - Sarovara, he has donated a sum of Rs.10000/- and wet - lands measuring 8 acres. The income from these two humble tributes are utilised for feeding the pilgrims of all castes, that visit daily and in large numbers during festive occasions.

Often times as by reason of the number of - pilgrims being very large, the temple authorities have been put to the necessity of cancelling the feeding. As one interested in the maintaining and upholding the sanctity of the sacred temple, he feels that such cancellations should not occur and therefore to guarantee the sufficiency of funds, the petitioner is prepared to contribute a sum of Rs.1000/- more, if the lands - measuring 18 acres and 88 cantes resumed by the Government from the defunct mutt of Kendagennu Swami, be made out in the name of Sri Agastheswara temple, Tirumakudlu at - Rs.50/- per acre. The lands aforesaid being adjacent

to

to the lands donated by the petitioner to the temple will be easily managed and the maximum benefits secured.

Therefore the petitioner prays that the Government may be pleased to grant the aforesaid lands to the Agent Arunachala temple under terms stipulated above. The petitioner is ready to deposit the required amount in the Government Treasury.

Petitioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. S 94 / Mys 38-38-7 Records

Dated, Bangalore, 25/26/6/38

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Judicial Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J.13/38-9 dated 7th June 1938, regarding the review petition of Kudlagi Swami in respect of the land measuring 1 acre 20 guntas at Kudlagi Industrial College Building, praying for reconsideration of orders issued in Government in their letter No.6/Mys.-38-7 dated 4-1-38 in the matter of Kendagannaiah Swami of its Inam lands at Talkad, I am directed to state that as suggested by you the petitioner may be allowed to the petitioner to construct the building at Talkad within a period of one year subject to his depositing in Rs.500/- in cash as guarantee that he will carry out as promised and to request that a suitable endorsement may be issued to the Swami and further action taken accordingly.

The entire records are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mir Safdar Hussain

for General Secretary to Government.

G.S.

G.S.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

3 Cobd + 1 enclosure

Diary No. of letter replied to .....138/.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue...26.-.10.-.38.....

Signed by Dr. M. S. Hussain

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section Misc

File No. 38 3 7

Serial No. 7

No. 594/Records

To

The D.C. ~~Mysore~~ &c.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no H 13/38 & dy. 9.3.38 ~~846/37 & 30.8.38 addressed to the Spl. Committee of Reforms~~ re: The renewal petn. of Rudrakshi Swami - Matadhipathi of Sri Kendagunneswara Swami Kanaka Mutt - Dodda Induvadi - Kollegal Tk praying for a reconsideration of Govt. Order ~~No.~~ Gone to their notification no 6/Misc-37 dy 1.7.38 to the inamdars of Kendagunneswara Swami Kanaka Mutt Dodda Induvadi, I am directed to state that one more

WD 0278—GPB—30,000—4-3-38

orders of the Asst. Sec = Issue V

RS 21/10

allowed to the petr to Construct the
Mud building at Talkad within
a ~~Sy~~ period of one year - Subject
to his depositing Rs 5'00/- in Cash
as guarantee that he will
Carry out his promise and ~~to~~ he requests that
to respect you to be so good a Sanad may
as to take necessary action in and to the Survey
the matter ~~as~~ above ~~petitioner~~
& furnish us a
report on the
following

Thanking etc

W 21/10

Mr Lyon see

City to m.c. with ref to Correspondence
ending with his letter no. H.C. 13/38-39
dy 19-9-38 on the subject.

B.O

Yr Genl

SS 21/10

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
468
26. OCT. 1938

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

======

Muz. Review Petition No. /1938-39.

Presented by HASIGE SURAPPA,
Talkad, T.Narasipur Taluk.

SUBJECT:- Defunct of the Muzrai Institutions at Talkad and Kanakagiri (Kollegal Taluk) and consequent resumption of Inam lands (according to the Government Order - recently passed).

MAY IT PLEASE SIR,

Your most humble servant Hasige Surappa at Talkad, T.Narasipur Taluk begs most respectfully to submit the following and humbly begs that the order passed by the Honourable Government (now sought to be reviewed) may be confirmed and orders reissued for the sale of produce) the order first issued being stayed.

1. (a) That according to the Register of Inams for the village of Talkad, prepared on 26-7-1880 - under the superintendence of the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements, it is as follows:-

"Kanakagiri Kendagauviah who is dead, his Sishya Basavalinga Wdderu resides in Kanakagiri. As Kukkur, there is also Mutt."

(b) that the present, 3 stone pillars and the image of Basava are found as relics on the Kanakagiri hill, where the Mutt originally stood. So far back as 27 years ago, the institution has ceased. There are no relics of Kukkur Mutt (Talkad) and it has ceased about 40 years ago.

(c) The prayer of the tax for Inam lands since

then, is one Venkatasubbiah an Hale Karnataka Brahmin at Talkad and who is of a different caste from the person in whose name the Inam Title is made out.

2. (a) That as per Muzrai Mannual (Sec.8) the service in both the above Institutions having failed, as per para 3 of that section, the Inams are resumable.

(b) According to Government Order No.233-42 dated 14-12-1892, it rests with the Government to approve nominations as successor, in case of death of a head of a Mutt and if approved, the Inams can be continued. But for this rule - any Institution may become defunct at any time and any one may come forward as successor at any time and the castemen may push forward any person, when they come to see that the Inams are likely to be resumed.

3. That the petitioner Rudrappa Swami is of Setti Community and the Head of the Mutt was of Wadera Community In the first and foremost place, the nomination as successor should be approved by the Government, and when succession to Basavalingavaderu is not approved by the Government in ricut course and in ricut time, the occupation and alienation of Inams are illegal. In the absence of approval in ricut course and in ricut time as above, if perchance permission, is given to rebuild the institutions, these institutions would be new and grants of Inam lands to them would be new grants.

4. That there are clear admissions by the petitioner in the Review petition as follows:-

> "Kukkur Mutt was washed away in 1911. Services at Kukkur have not been revived. Kanakagiri Mutt is old and dilapidated."

5. That Dodda Induvadi is the native place of the petitioner wherein his brothers live. The petitioner appears to say that services are being rendered in his

house (so to say). Whether the petitioner has permission from Government to do service in his house is a matter for a kind consideration. In the absence of any such permission, even the best disinterested and reliable evidence cannot be entertained. In addition, the petitioner's family at Dod Induvadi are embarassed in debts.

6. That the yield from the Inam lands is ₹600/- or thereabouts. Taking the average of periods since the services have failed, (27 years, Para 1 (b) in both the institutions, it will be merely 27 years. Nearly ₹20000 will have to be accounted for. According to the petitioner himself, 27 years have elapsed since the Kukkur Mutt was washed away. (27 x ₹600, ⅓ of it) nearly ₹13,000/- will have to be accounted for.

7. That the allegation in the Review petition that the petitioner was engaged in a litigation to establish a ricut is not bonafide. The Government in the Muzrai Department was no party to it. A Civil Court cannot grant a decree affirming that the petitioner is a successor to Basavalinga Devaru. When a large extent of land should come into possession illegally, one man cannot do and several men will have to collude and all colluders will have their respective shares. The litigation therefore is a collusive affair.

8. That the Muzrai Commissioner's order permitting the petitioner to build the Institutions, has been set aside by the Government. That question cannot arise again.

9. That the Petitioner Rudrappa Swami is connected with Nanjangud Mutt. The title deed elicidates this. Nanjangud Mutt is not tacked on with the Kanakagiri Kukkur Mutts. How one person can preside as Guru in three different Mutts at three different places may kindly be considered over.

10. That from the above, it may be clear to you Sir, that the Government have recently ordered the resumption

of Inams, - the Amildar, the Sub-Division Officer, and the Deputy Commissioner, having all in one voice concurred in the matter of resumption of Inams granted for Kanakagiri and Kukkur Mutts.

11. That in the 'Review petition', it is not made out what that New and important matter which was not originally within the knowledge of the petitioner, inspite of his use of all diligence, in which is subsequently discovered inder which ground alone be can bring a review and so on, the Review petition is not maintainable.

12. That, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore has stated in his Endorsement No. H.846-37 dated 18-10-1938, that the lands in question would not be granted to the temple, as the Swamiji has been granted time for the construction of the Mutt.

13. That your humble servant has dedicated more than Rs10,000 for the service of Agastheswara Temple at Tirumakudlu, Rs5% was accuring as interest and it is reduced to 4% which is insufficient to feed all the pilgrims that go to the Temple. He humbly prays that lands for an upset price of Rs50/- per acre may be given to your humble servant to be in addition to the dedica ion already made and referred to above (such a concession has been given to Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit) - and that lastly, Your humble servant being 80 years' old and his best cherished desire being that his dedication may stand firm, - he may kindly be allowed the previlege of making the dedication quite firm.

For ever, remains,
Your most humble servant,

(Hasige Surappa)

Dated 20th October 1938.

Pet. No. 1081

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE, 1. NOV. 1938

Dated 27.10.1938

GENL & REV. SECTION
498.
UZRAI BR.

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Chief Secretary to Government, for favour of disposal.

CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVT. OF MYSORE.

By Order,

K R Ramaiah

Private Secretary to the Dewan.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
Date 27.10.38
Fair Page 1081

BEFORE THE GOVERNMEAT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muz. Review Petition No. /1938-39.

Presented by HASIGE SURAPPA,
Talkad, T.Narasipur Taluk.

SUBJECT:- Defunct of the Muzrai Institutions at Talkad and Kanakagiri (Kollegal Taluk) and consequent resumption of Inam lands (according to the Government Order - recently passed).

MAY IT PLEASE SIR,

Your most humble servant Hasige Surappa at Talkad, T.Narasipur Taluk begs most respectfully to submit the following and humbly begs that the order passed by the Honourable Government (now sought to be reviewed) may be confirmed and orders reissued for the sale of produc the order first issued being stayed.

1. (a) That according to the Register of Inams for the village of Talkad, prepared on 26-7-1880 - under the superintendence of the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements, it is as follows:-

> "Kanakagiri Kendagauviah who is dead, his Sishya Basavalinga Wdderu resides in Kanakagiri. As Kukkur, there is also Mutt."

(b) that the present, 3 stone pillars and the image of Basava are found as relics on the Kanakagiri hill, where the Mutt originally stood. So far back as 27 years ago, the institution has ceased. There are no relics of Kukkur Mutt (Talkad) and it has ceased about 40 years ago.

(c) The prayer of the tax for Inam lands since

then is one Venkatasubbiah an Hale Karnataka Brahmin at Talkad and who is of a different caste from the persbn in whose name the Inam Title is made out.

2. (a) That as per Muzrai Mannual (Sec.6) the service in both the above Institutions having failed, as per para 3 of that section, the Inams are resumable.

(b) According to Government Order No.233-42 dated 14-12-1892, it rests with the Government to approve nominations as successor, in case of death of a head of a Mutt and if approved, the Inams can be continued. But for this rule - any Institution may become defunct at any time and any one may come forward as successor at any time and the castemen may push forward any person, when they come to see that the Inams are likely to be resumed.

3. That the petitioner Rudrappa Swami is of Setti Community and the Head of the Mutt was of Wadera Community. In the first and foremost place, the nomination as successor should be approved by the Government, and when succession to Basavalingavaderu is not approved by the Government in ricut course and in ricut time, the occupation and alienation of Inams are illegal. In the absence of approval in ricut course and in ricut time as above, if perchance permission, is given to rebuild the institutions, these institutions would be now and grants of Inam lands to them would be new grants.

4. That there are clear admissions by the petitioner in the Review petition as follows:-

> "Kukkur Mutt was washed away in 1911. Services at Kukkur have not been revived. Kanakagiri Mutt is old and dilapidated."

5. That Dodda Induvadi is the native place of the petitioner wherein his brothers live. The petitioner appears to say that services are being rendered in his

house (so to say). Whether the petitioner has permission from Government to do service in his house is a matter for a kind consideration. In the absence of any such permission, even the best disinterested and reliable evidence cannot be entertained. In addition, the petitioner's family at Dod Induvadi are embarassed in debts.

6. That the yield from the Inam lands is Rs600/- or thereabouts. Taking the average of periods since the services have failed, (27 years, Para 1 (b) in both the institutions, it will be merely 27 years. Nearly Rs20000 will have to be accounted for. According to the petitioner himself, 27 years have elapsed since the Kukkur Mutt was washed away. (27 x Rs600, $\frac{1}{5}$ of it) nearly Rs13,000/- will have to be accounted for.

7. That the allegation in the Review petition that the petitioner was engaged in a litigation to establish a ricut is not bonafide. The Government in the Muzrai Department was no party to it. A Civil Court cannot grant a decree affirming that the petitioner is a successor to Basavalinga Devaru. When a large extent of land should come into possession illegally, one man cannot do and several men will have to collude and all colluders will have their respective shares. The litigation therefore is a collusive affair.

8. That the Muzrai Commissioner's order permitting the petitioner to build the Institutions, has been set aside by the Government. That question can ot arise again.

9. That the Petitioner Rudrappa Swami is connected with Nanjangud Mutt. The title deed elicidates this. Nanjangud Mutt is not tacked on with the Kanakagiri Kukkur Mutts. How one person can preside as Guru in three different Mutts at three different places may kindly be considered over.

10. That from the above, it may be clear to you Sir, that the Government have recently ordered the resumption

of Inams, - the Amildar, the Sub-Division officer, and the Deputy Commissioner, having all in one voice concurred in the matter of resumption of Inams granted for Kanakagiri and Kukkur Mutts.

11. That in the 'Review petition', it is not made out what that New and important matter which was not originally within the knowledge of the petitioner, inspite of his use of all diligence, in which is subsequently discovered inder which ground alone be can bring a review and so on, the Review petition is not maintainable.

12. That, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District Mysore has stated in his Endorsement No. H.846-37 dated 18-10-1938, that the lands in question would not be granted to the temple, as the Swamiji has been granted time for the construction of the Mutt.

13. That your humble servant has dedicated more than Rs10,000 for the service of Agastheswara Temple at Tirumakudlu Rs5% was accuring as interest and it is reduced to 4% which is insufficient to feed all the pilgrims that go to the Temple. He humbly prays that lands for an upset price of Rs50/- per acre may be given to your humble servant to be in addition to the dedication already made and referred to above (such a concession has been given to Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit) - and that lastly, Your humble servant being 80 years' old and his best cherished desire being that his dedication may stand firm, - he may kindly be allowed the previlege of making the dedication quite firm.

For ever, remains,
Your most humble servant,

(Hasige Surappa)

Dated 20th October 1938.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

2 copies

Diary No. of letter replied to 468

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 3-11-38

Signed by Mrs. S. T. V. Segera

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Mud

File No. 38. 38

Serial No. 86

No. 667

To Mr Hadige Smappa.

Residing at Talladi

With reference to your petition dated 20/9/38 requesting that a road be given to Kendapuniya and also that a line may be added to the footpath proposed to the Agricultural Minor Centre at Talladi, Mr. Hadige Smappa is informed that the matter will be taken up with the Commissioner of Lands on the receipt of the report of the Superintendent of Survey.

LEGL & REV. SEC.
THE PALACE,
526

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 667/Mg 38-38-35 *Bangalore, Dated,* 3rd November 1938

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Mr.Hasige Suranpa,
Residing at Talkad.

With reference to his petition dated 20th October 1938 requesting that lands belonging to Kendagannaiya mutt at Talkad may be sold to him for being endowed to Sri Agastheswaraswami temple in that village, Mr.Hasige Suranpa is informed that his request cannot be complied with as the lands are not available for being granted.

By Order,

For General Secretary to Govt.

GEN. & REV. SEC.

THE PALACE, MYSORE,

31st October 1938.

3 NOV 1938

2nd November

3-11-38

The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal.

CHIEF SECRETARY 3 NOV GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Bangalore.

Received on 4/11/38

By Order,

For *Private Secretary*
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 235 of 1938-39.

The petition dated 20th October 1938 from Hasige Surappa, Talkad T. Narasipur Taluk, praying that the Inam lands belonging to Kukkur and Kankagiri Mutts may be granted to him on taking an upset price of Rs. 50/- per acre from him as the inams have been resumed by the Government and as the petitioner has invested more than Rs 10000/- for the maintainance of Sri Agatheswara Temple at T. Narasipur and the interest realised by the deposit is not sufficient to meet the expenditure.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
No P 235
26 OCT 1938

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT UNDER HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BAN

======

Muz. Review Petition No. /1938-39.

Presented by HASIGE SURAPPA,
Talkad, T.NARASIPUR TALUK.

SUBJECT:- Defunct of the Muzrai Institutions at Talkad and Kanakagiri (Kollegal Taluk) and consequent resumption of Inam lands (according to the Government Order - recently passed)

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS,

Your Highness's most humble servant Hasige Surappa at Talkad (T.Narasipur Taluk) begs most respectfully to submit the following and humbly begs that the order passed - by the Honourable Government (now sought to be reviewed) may be confirmed and orders reissued for the sale of produce) the order first issued being stayed).

1 (a) That according to the Register of Inams for the village of Talkad, prepared on 28-7-1890 - under the superintendence of the Superintendent of the Inam Settlements, it is as follows:-

"Kanakagiri Kendagauviah who is dead, his Sishya Basavalinga Naderu resides in Kanakagiri. At Kukkur, there is also Matt."

(b) that at present, 3 stone pillars and the image of Basava are found as relics on the Kanakagiri hill, where the Mutt originally stood. So far back as 27 years ago, the Institution has ceased. There are no relics of Kukkur Matt (Talkad) and it has ceased about 40 years ago.

(c) The prayer of tax for Inam lands since then, is one Venkatasubbiah an Hale Karnataka Brahmin at Talkad

and who is of a different caste from the person in whose name the Inam Title is made out.

2. (a) That as per Muzrai Mannual (Sec.6) the service in both the above Institutions having failed, as per para 3 of that section, the Inams are resumable.

(b) According to Government Order No.939-49 dat 14-12-1892, it rests with your Highness to approve nominations as successor, in case of death of a head of a Mutt and if approved, the Inams can be continued. But for this rule - any Institution may become defunct at any time and any one may come forward as successor at any time and the castemen may push forward any person, when they come to see that the Inams are likely to be resumed.

3. That the petitioner Rudrappa Swami is of Setti Community and the Head of the Mutt was of Wadera Community. In the first and foremost place, the nomination as successor should be approved by Your Highness, and when succession to Basavalingavaderu is not approved by Your Highness in ricut course and in ricut time, - the occupation and alienation of Inams are illegal. In the absence of approval in ricut course and in ricut time as above, if perchance permission is given to rebuild the institutions, those Institutions would be now and grants of Inam lands to them would be new grants.

4. That there are clear admissions by the Petitioner in the Review petition as follows:-

> "Kukkur Matt was washed away in 1911. Services at Kukkur have not been revived. Kanakagiri Mutt is old and dilapidated."

5. That Dodda Induvadi is the native place of the petitioner wherein his brothers live. The petitioner

appears to say that services are being rendered in his house (so to say) Whether the petitioner has permission from Government to do service in his house is a matter for a kind consideration. In the absence of any such permission, even the best disintered and reliable evidence cannot be entertained. In addition, the petitioner's family at Dod Induvadi are embarassed in debts.

6. That the yield from the Inam lands is Rs600 or thereabouts. Taking the average of periods since the services have failed, (27 years, Para 1 (b) in both the institutions, it will be merely 27 years. Nearly Rs20,000 will have to be accounted for. According to the petitioner himself, 27 years have elapsed since the Kukur Matt was washed away. (27 x Rs600, ⅗ of it) Nearly Rs13,000/- will have to be accounted for.

7. That the allegation in the Review petition that the petitioner was engaged in a litigation to estabisih a ricut is not bonafide. The Government in the Muzrai Department was no party to it. A Civil Court cannot grant a decree affirming that the petitioner is a successor to Basavalinga Devaru. When a large extent of land should come into possession illegally, one man cannot do and several men will have to collude and all colluders will have their respective shares. The litigation therefore is a collusive affair.

8. That the Muzrai Commissioner's order permitting the petitioner to build the Institutions, has been set aside by the Government. That question cannot arise again.

9. That the Petitioner Rudrappa Swami is connected with Nanjangud Mutt. The title deed elicidates this. Nanjangud Mutt is not tacked on with Kanakagiri and Kukkur

Mutts. How one person can preside as Guru in 3 different Mutts at 3 different places may kindly be considered over.

10. That from the above, it may be clear to your Highness that the Government have recently ordered the resumption of Inams, - the Amildar, the Subdivision Officer, and the Deputy Commissioner, having all in one voice concurred in the matter of resumption of Inams granted for Kanakagiri and Kukkur Mutts.

11. That in the 'Review petition', it is not made out what that New and important matter which was not originally within the knowledge of the petitioner, inspite of his use of all diligence, is which is subsequently discovered under which ground alone he can bring a review and so, the Review petition is not maintainable.

12. That, Your Highness's humble servant has dedicated more than Rs.10000/- for the service of Agastheswara Temple at Thirumakudlu - Rs5% was accruing as interest and it is reduced to 4% which is insufficient to feed all the pilgrims that go to the Temple. He humbly prays that lands for an upset price of Rs50/- per acre may be given to Your Highness's humble servant to be in addition to the dedication already made and referred to above (such a concession has been given to Mr. Amble Pandit) - and that lastly, Your Highness's humble servant bring 80 years old and his best cherished desire being that his dedication may stand firm, - he may kindly be allowed the previlege of making the dedication quite firm.

For ever, remains,
Your Highness most humble servant,

(Hasige Surappa)

Dated 20th October 38